Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

From the Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College, Vol. XL. No. 3.

These three notable papers by Dr. Strong are results of his course of study in the graduate department of Harvard University. The last named paper summarizes the results of investigations on the development of color in feathers, and incidentally shows the extreme improbability of change of color in feathers without molt, except by the wearing away of the tips of feathers, which will simply eliminate that part of the feather with its color. This has been so carefully and thoroughly done that we may accept as final the conclusions reached. In fully grown feathers the change of the pattern of color by a redistribution of its pigment is not possible. L. J.

On the Classification of Certain Groups of Birds (Supersuborders: Archornithiformes; Dromæognathæ, Odontoholcae.) by R. W. Shufeldt.

Reprint from the American Naturalist, Vol. XXXVII, No. 433. January, 1903.

This paper is one of a series in which Dr. Shufeldt is giving the results of a great amount of study upon the osteology of birds, both extinct and living. The classification of extinct birds must necessarily be based upon the bones. Comparison with the skeletons of modern birds forms a basis for determining the relative position which those old forms should occupy in a general scheme of classification. Our thanks are due Dr. Shufeldt for the pains he has taken to bring order out of chaos where the ancient fossil forms are concerned, as well as for his contributions to modern classification based upon skeletal characters. L. J.

Amateur Sportsman, The, Vol. XXVII, Nos. 2, 3, 4.
American Ornithology, Vol. II, No. 12. Vol. III, Nos. 1, 2.
Bulletin Nos. 61, 62, Pennsylvania State College Agricultural Experiment Station.
Bird- Lore, Vol. V., No. 1.
Cassinia, No. VI, 1902.
Condor, The, Vol. V, No. 1.
Floral World, The, Vol. II, No. 3.
Journal of Applied Microscopy, Vol. V, No. 12. Vol. Vi, No. 1.
Journal of the Maine Ornithological Society, Vol. V., No. 1.
Maine Sportsman, Vol. X, Nos. 112, 113, 114
Ohio Naturalist, Vol. III, Nos. 1, 2.
Plant World, The, Vol. V, Nos. 8, 9, 10.
Warbler, The, Vol. I, No. 1.